

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/771,391	02/05/2004	Kozo Shimizu	042080	3325
38834	7590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER	
	AN, HATTORI, DANI CTICUT AVENUE, NW	GURLEY, LYNNE ANN		
SUITE 700	CHCOLAVENOE, NW	,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20036		2812	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTOL-326 (R		tion Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 1204		
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	a. Jame S. Lhisler		
	application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·		
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.		
🗖	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,		
. 5,23	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	·- · ·- ·			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 November 2004</u> is/a		ed to by the Examiner		
_	on Papers The specification is objected to by the Everying	_			
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian raquiromant			
-	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	m mom oonolgoradom.			
•	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.			
_	,				
Dienositi	ion of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
٥)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	•			
<u> </u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowar	action is non-final.	secution as to the merits is		
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 No</u>				
Status					
after - If the - If NC - Failu Any earn	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
THE	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	_	•		
Period fo			·		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Lynne A. Gurley ears on the cover sheet with the c	2812 orrespondence address		
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit		
		10/771,391	SHIMIZU ET AL.		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to the amendment filed 11/2/04.

Currently claims 1-8 are pending.

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Drawings

1. The replacement drawings for figures 5A-5C were received on 11/2/04. These drawings are replacement sheets for Figures 5A-5C only. The drawings previously filed on 2/5/04 are approved for figures 1-4.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/771,391 Page 3

Art Unit: 2812

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 4. Claims 1-2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishiguchi (US 5,461,261, dated 10/24/95).
- 5. Nishiguchi shows the method as claimed in figures 3-19 and corresponding text, with emphasis on figures 3, 11 and 13-19, as: forming an insulating film (105 -figs. 11&15; 106 figs. 13&18) on a surface of a semiconductor element or a circuit wiring board having electrodes 100/101-104 (also column 3, line 67; column 4, lines 1-2) on the surface thereof; forming openings (figs. 11, 13 & 18) in the insulating film by patterning the insulating film and then removing portions of the insulating film above the electrodes; supplying a first metal into the openings; heating the first metal to melt and coagulate the first metal; supplying a second metal into the openings on the first metal; heating the first metal and the second metal to melt and coagulate the first and the second metal (alternate layers of two different metals capable of eutectic bonding upon mounting are deposited by electroplating, melting and coagulation occurs between each layer, and the entire bump is melted and coagulated; See column 2, lines 25-31; column 3, lines 58-65; column 4, lines 28-38; column 5, lines 12-67 for materials including Sb, In, number of layers, which includes the structure of only two metal layers, melting in the eutectic bonding, and electroplating; column 6, lines 1-26 for dry resist, height of the metal layers including mushroom effect above the insulator); and removing the insulating film (column 6, line 27).

Application/Control Number: 10/771,391 Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 8. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 9. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishiguchi (US 5,461,261, dated 10/24/95) in view of Nakata et al. (US 2004/0079194, dated 4/29/04).

Nishiguchi shows the method substantially as claimed and as described in the preceding paragraphs.

Application/Control Number: 10/771,391

Art Unit: 2812

Nishiguchi lacks anticipation only in not teaching that: 1) the first metal has a characteristic in which a volume thereof is increased when it is heated to be molten and coagulated; 2) the first metal contains as a component thereof Bi or an alloy including Bi as a primary component; and 3) a content of Bi in the first metal is in the range from 20 to 70 wt% of the sum of the first metal and the second metal.

Nakata teaches the use of Bi (0.5 to 15% by weight) in a Sn composition, since Bi forms a low melting point alloy phase with Sn.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have had the first metal have a characteristic in which a volume thereof is increased when it is heated to be molten and coagulated; to have had the first metal contain as a component thereof Bi or an alloy including Bi as a primary component; and to have had a content of Bi in the first metal in the range from 20 to 70 wt% of the sum of the first metal and the second metal, in the method of Nishiguchi, with the motivation that Nakata teaches that it is well known to include Bi in a Sn alloy and since Bi also forms a low melting point alloy phase with Sn, the Bi would preserve the eutectic properties of the bump formed in Nishiguchi. Additionally, since Nakata teaches the 0.5 to 15% composition of Bi by weight, in the absence of criticality, this range is shown to be on the same order of the 20 to 70% in the instant application and, therefore would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. Finally, the addition of Bi in the method of Nishiguchi, would make the volume of the first metal increase when it is heated to be molten and coagulated, since it is characteristically hard and brittle.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments, see pages6-10 of the amendment, filed 11/2/04, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 103.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lynne A. Gurley whose telephone number is 571-272-1670. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-4:00.

Art Unit: 2812

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Niebling can be reached on 571-272-1679. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Lynne A. Gurley

Primary Patent Examiner TC 2800, Art Unit 2812

Page 7

LAG December 4, 2004